Graduate Journal of Pakistan Review (GJPR) – ISSN: 2789-4177
Vol. 3, No. 2, 2023

RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND CLIMATE CHANGE: INFLUENCE OF GREAT POWER POLITICS

Dr.Raziq Hussain
Assistant Prof., Department of International Relations,
Muslim Youth University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Munir Ahmad Khan Gasura
Ph.D Scholar, Department of International Relations
Muslim Youth (MY) University, Islamabad

Alyas Ali Chaichi
M S Scholar, Department of International Relations
Muslim Youth (MY) University, Islamabad

ABSTRACT:

The primary objective of this investigation is on how the policies of great powers affect resource allocation in the context of the effects of climate change. It examines the dynamics, issues, and effects of resource allocation inequalities as well as how they relate to the actions and goals of major international powers. The research project uses core theoretical frameworks including realism and the theories of international relations to investigate the multifaceted connection underlying the politics of great powers and resource distribution. The study uses case studies and actual events to analyze the competition for resources that are scarce among great countries, disparities in resource distribution depending on geopolitical factors, the significance of financial influence and power, and the effects of partisan alliances and rivalries. Insufficient resource allocation's impacts on the environment, humanity, and economy are also evaluated by the study. Based on these findings, the report gives particular recommendations for politicians and other parties that are interested. These ideas place a strong emphasis on international cooperation, enhancing the functioning of financial building and assistance, facilitating technology transfer, advancing concepts of inclusion and equity, and raising public awareness and participation. This work contributes to the academic discussion of resource allocation and great power politics by offering information that may be used to build policies and promote effective climate action. To advance and build on this information, further research and collaboration must take place. This will eventually lead to resource allocation that is fair and sustainable in the face of climate change obstacles.

KEYWORDS:

Resource allocation, great power politics, climate change, geopolitical considerations, competition, disparities

INTRODUCTION:

One of the major issues affecting mankind today is the effects of climate change, which has significant impacts on the environment, culture, and financial markets. The temperature rises, altered weather patterns, an increase in sea level, and ecological degradation are all effects of the

accumulation of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases in the upper atmosphere of the planet. There are significant effects on human civilization as a result of these repercussions, including changes in the amount of population and access to water and food. Cooperation on a worldwide scale is required to combat the impact of climate change, including wise resource distribution. Resource allocation refers to the distribution and allocation of materials, such as money, technology, and human resources, to solve the challenges caused by climate change. To decrease and prepare for the consequences of warming temperatures, these resources must be divided equitably. enormous partisanship International events are heavily influenced by, which can be attributed to the behaviors and impact exerted by dominant nations around the globe. Initiatives for allocating resources for reducing climate change impacts and adapting to them have been directly affected by the activities and policies of great powers. These nations, which include the US, China, Russia, and the EU, have considerable economic, political, and intellectual clout, allowing them to have a significant impact on global decision-making. Great power politics and the equitable distribution of resources for climate change adaptation and mitigation are tightly connected. Large economies are crucial when it comes to global sustainability discussions and conversations, first and foremost. The objectives, goals, and results of international climate policies and agreements have been influenced by the public's involvement and cooperation. The deployment of cash for initiatives related to climate change, such as funding for research and development (R initiatives involving renewable energy, and measures for adaptation, is additionally impacted by major powers.

By examining these goals, this research the piece seeks to provide insights into the complex relationships between power politics and resource allocation in the backdrop of climate change. To create successful strategies, frameworks, and legislation to support equal distribution of resources, boost worldwide collaboration, and support environmentally friendly growth amid climate change difficulties one needs to understand the relationship between them. This study aims to investigate how the allocation of resources is impacted by the politics of great powers amid climate change. By investigating the connections underlying immense power dynamics and allocation of resources, this study seeks to provide more insight into the variables that influence decision-making processes and their implications for climate change implications. The goal of this study is to look into the relationship between resource allocation in the adaptation and mitigation of climate change efforts and global power politics.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK:

The conceptual basis of this study's article is built from several core principles and structures that help analyze how the politics of great powers affect resource allocation in the context of climate change. Realist thinking can aid in developing an awareness of great power dynamics and their effect on resource distribution (Keohane, 1986). Realists contend that states, which are driven by vested interests and the desire for power, are the fundamental ones who participated in the international system. Great powers strategically compete and work together to advance their national interests. Realist theory can help to explain how resource allocation in the context of climate change can be affected by the geopolitical rivalry of large states, commercial benefit-seeking, and the need for energy security. By putting on the aforementioned theoretical framework, the study article may provide a thorough evaluation of the impact of great power politics on how to allocate resources towards mitigating and adapting to climate change. This multidimensional strategy supports the creation of effective strategies and policies to address this issue by enabling an improved comprehension of the underpinning dynamics, issues, and implications of resource allocation disparities.

CLIMATE CHANGE RESOURCE ALLOCATION: OVERVIEW AND CHALLENGES

The primary objective of fighting climate change is ensuring the equitable allocation of crucial funds to mitigate and adapt to the implications of climate change. The concept of resource allocation in the context of climate change is investigated in this section, along with the fundamental assets required and the challenges related to their successful deployment. the process of dispersing and assigning resources, such as money, technology, and labor, to various organizations and endeavors addressing climate change (IPCC, 2014). Initiatives for addressing the impact of climate change must have enough funding to be put into action (UNEP, 2016). Financial resources are necessary for clean technology advancement and research, green power projects, infrastructural enhancements, and capability building in vulnerable areas. For the development and use of ecologically sound solutions, Improvement in technology is essential, especially developments in green power systems and the monitoring of climate data (Leck et al., 2015). Scientists, engineers, politicians, and practitioners all contribute significantly to the fight against climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts (World Bank, 2018). There are several challenges and difficulties involved in allocating resources for climate change mitigation and

adaptation. Due to the massive financial and technical resources required to tackle the problem of climate change, resources may be constrained or shared unevenly among nations and regions (IPCC, 2014). To eliminate the resource gap and offer equitable access to resources, important obstacles must be talked about. In particular for countries that are developing. The lack of certainty underlying the impacts of climate change and expected future needs makes setting priorities and decisions more challenging. Combining both immediate and distant objectives becomes hard when resources are constrained. To deploy money effectively, it must be done to consider an assortment of issues, such as assessments of vulnerability, cost-effectiveness, and significant cross-sector benefits (IPCC, 2014). Political dynamics and opposing viewpoints have an impact on how resources are distributed at various levels. Choices for resource distribution could get more challenging as a result either different shareholder goals, international disputes, and diverse national priorities. As a result, that may be an uneven distribution of materials, which might render it tougher to put effective initiatives to mitigate climate change into reality (Adger, 2009; Bäckstrand et al., 2017For optimal allocation of funds, sound institutional structures and governance practices are required. Institutional fragmentation, a lack of coordination, and governance flaws may hinder the effective utilization of resources. Institutions need to be reaffirmed, coordination processes need to be enhanced, and participation from stakeholders has to be supported (Biermann & Pattberg, 2008; Jordan et al., 2018).

GREAT POWER POLITICS AND CLIMATE CHANGE:

In-depth studies on the effects of great power politics on the discussion of climate change and the distribution of natural assets have been published in the literature (Knaggrd & Sundberg, 2017; Meunier & Nicolaidis, 2017). International climate agreements and policies are significantly influenced by significant nations including the United States, China, and Russia (IPCC, 2014). Their participation in climate change debates is crucial because of their significant economic, military, and geopolitical influence (Knaggrd & Sundberg, 2017). The United States and China played an important role in climate change agreements because they are the two biggest emitters of greenhouse gases. Significant international agreements like the Paris Agreement have been impacted by the choices and actions they make (IPCC, 2014). Their cooperation and involvement in combating climate change have proved essential in speeding up Worldwide commitments and around-the-globe action (Meunier & Nicolaidis, 2017). Effective resource

allocation for climate change prevention and resilience is challenging due to international rivalry and opposing viewpoints among great countries (Peters et al., 2012). Competition for resources, markets, and strategic advantages can take focus off of resolving climate change provides and shift resources away from other issues of importance (IPCC, 2014). These conflicts could make people reluctant to pool their technology, knowledge, and financial resources to combat the impact of climate change (Knaggrd & Sundberg, 2017).

Furthermore, the decisions made about how to allocate resources for climate change programs may be influenced by the strategic objectives of major countries in terms of energy security and access to natural resources (Knaggrd & Sundberg, 2017). The struggle for energy supplies and the monopolization of vital resources can divert priority from sustainable solutions, impeding the shift to a low-carbon economy (IPCC, 2014). Finally, great power politics has a considerable impact on climate change rhetoric, negotiations, and resource allocation. Great nations' actions and decisions have far-reaching consequences for global climate governance and collaboration. Understanding the influence of geopolitical rivalries, competing interests, and strategic considerations is critical for effectively tackling climate change concerns and supporting sustainable resource allocation.

ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AND GREAT POWER POLITICS:

Against the backdrop of the changing climate, great powers struggle for limited resources (Knaggrd & Sundberg, 2017). The resources in question come in the form of capital, technical transfer, infrastructure for renewable energy, and R&D expertise. Major nations' self-interest and political goals drive the quest for these resources (Meunier & Nicolaidis, 2017). Effective resource allocation for mitigation and adaptation to climate change projects may be challenging given this conflict of interest. Geopolitical considerations have an important influence on how great powers divide resources (IPCC, 2014). National interests, strategic objectives, and geopolitical rivalry can all result in disparities in the funding of climate change courses. The distribution of resources is determined by political and economic associations, forgetting particular nations while constantly supporting some (Knaggrd & Sundberg, 2017). These inconsistencies exacerbate injustices and jeopardize initiatives to battle climate change at an international level. According to Meunier and Nicolaidis (2017), the economic power and influence of great territories have a big impact on how expenditures will be distributed to combat

climate change. Strong economies may allocate significant resources to reducing and preparing for climate change. They have an impact on decisions regarding the way resources are allocated internationally through their financial and technological contributions. On the opposite side, nations with less developed economies have a more difficult time collecting the necessary resources, which worsens resource imbalances and inhibits their capacity to address climate change issues. Resources for climate change programs have been distributed in significant amounts as a result of geopolitical alliances and conflicts between the major nations (IPCC, 2014). Alliances increase cooperation and resource sharing, which enhances the ability to take climate action. On the other side, rivalries and geopolitical tensions prevent cooperation and may cause national interests to take precedence over group efforts (Knaggrd & Sundberg, 2017). Materials are diverted or centralized based on alliances and rivalries as a result of political variables and power factors' influence on resource distribution decisions.

CASE STUDIES AND EXAMPLES:

Great power politics could be affecting how monies are directed for addressing the impact of climate change in particular areas or countries. Large nations, for instance, are vying for resources and geopolitical interests in the Arctic region. Climate change-related Arctic glacier melt has captured the attention of nation-states like China, the United States of America, and Russia by opening up new opportunities for resource exploitation and transit routes. The allocation of resources in this area has been affected by political issues and the pursuit of economic benefits (Lanteigne, 2020).

Decisions about how to allocate resources in connection to climate change can be directly influenced by great power politics. In this case, international negotiations and the influence of wealthy nations led to the creation of the Environmental Protection Agency's Green Climate Fund (GCF). The GCF's purpose is to assist countries that are developing in their fight against climate change, but the sum of money it collects depends on the interests and contributions of powerful nations. The international circumstances and strategic objectives of the major donors have an impact on fund decisions regarding distribution (Meunier & Nicolaidis, 2017).

The results of climate change can be significantly affected by disparities in the distribution of resources that are determined by the politics of major powers. For instance, developing countries

with limited finances usually have trouble securing funding and technologies for coping with and combating climate change. Their ability to successfully manage climate change is hampered by the inequality in resource allocation, which exacerbates their vulnerabilities. As a result, these nations have been disproportionately affected by the implications of warming temperatures, such as severe weather and sea level rise (IPCC, 2014).

The uneven distribution of finances disproportionately might hinder international efforts to achieve shared climate goals. Whenever resources are not distributed evenly, the efficient execution of international efforts to reduce emissions and adapt to climate change is placed in doubt. As a result, there may be less ability to adapt to the implications of climate change and, ultimately, slower progress in cutting greenhouse gas emissions (Knaggrd & Sundberg, 2017).

Findings and Results:

Some significant implications were drawn from the study on how resources are distributed and great power dynamics in the larger picture of climate change. The study found that large nations engage in severe competition for the resources need to combat climate change. The competition includes access to alternative power sources, assistance with finances, and technological advancements. The struggle between each of these variables makes it challenging to distribute resources. The study found inconsistencies in how resources were distributed that were influenced by geopolitical concerns and political relationships between powerful nations. Certain countries received higher resource allocations than other ones, particularly those with stronger political as well as financial capabilities. The inequality in resource distribution may result in unfair burden-sharing and impede international attempts to battle climate change.

The results demonstrated the important role of money's influence and power in distributing resources for addressing climate change. The capability to disperse resources and influence the direction of climate policy was stronger for great nations with robust economies. On the other side, lesser-developed economies normally struggled to secure the necessary backing and funding, which limited their ability to develop. Following the study, large countries' coalitions of government and rivalries have a big impact on how resources are distributed. Allies' cooperation and resource sharing benefited resource allocation efforts, fostering teamwork and enhancing the adaptation and mitigation methods for climate change. On the other hand, rivalries and

geopolitical disputes prevented the efficient allocation of materials, leading to a scattered and diverse method for the issue of climate change mitigation.

The study additionally demonstrated the consequences and impact of these conclusions. Politics involving huge powers that result in the insufficient allocation of assets can affect the environment. The widespread deployment of sustainable practices and technologies has been hampered by insufficient resources and technology transfer, which boosts the usage of fossil fuels and causes environmental harm. As a result, the effects of the changing climate, such as extreme weather phenomena and deterioration of the environment are intensified. Great power politics-related disparities in resource distribution have significant social and economic repercussions. Climate change makes underdeveloped countries more vulnerable and has significant repercussions for disadvantaged people, including forced migration, loss of livelihoods, and food insecurity. Access to green technologies can be hampered by economic imbalances brought on by unequal allocations of resources, which can stifle the growth of the economy. International environmental regulation as well as cooperation suffers from the effects of great power politics on how resources are distributed. Equity ideals are undermined and effective international climate action faces obstacles by differences in resource distribution based on geopolitical reasons. The engagement and voice of smaller and more vulnerable states in decision-making processes can be hampered by inequalities in power and the disproportionate representation of interests, which lowers the success rate of global climate policy. The results of this research also shed light on the complicated connections between the politics of great powers and the allocation of resources in the broader context of the effects of climate change. Conflict, injustices, and power that are evident in decisions about resource allocation have major consequences for the environment, the community, and worldwide environmental governance. Understanding and acting on these findings is essential for promoting equal distribution of resources, strengthening collaborative behavior, and making sure of successful global mitigation as well as adaptation to the impacts of climate change.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The following recommendations are offered in light of the study paper's results and evaluation. These distinctive thoughts provide legislators and other interested parties with insightful

knowledge and practical approaches to solving the challenges of inequality and unequal distribution of resources caused by great power politics;

I. **Encourage strengthened cooperation and multilateralism amongst major powers**: To overcome geopolitical rivalry and advertise collaborative efforts on the problem of climate change, the research recommends fostering increased multinational coordination and collaboration among large nations. This may be done through creating forums for discussion, knowledge-sharing, and collaborative attempts to overcome inefficiencies in resource allocation and support equitable distribution of resources.

II. **Strengthen the worldwide climate governance mechanisms**; The study suggests that to encourage inclusiveness, openness, and proportional representation of all states, global weather frameworks for governance should be reinforced. Reforms that encourage the idea of shared but differentiated responsibilities and include smaller, more susceptible nations in decision-making processes may be used to achieve this.

III. **Expand financial structures and support**: The research highlights the importance of strengthening financial procedures to provide enough and dependable funding for climate change initiatives, in particular for developing nations. It recommends that major powers be prodded to uphold their financial pledges and increase support for projects for adaptation and mitigation, technological transfer, and boosting regional capabilities in vulnerable areas. The report underlines the importance of for minimizing the transfer of climate-friendly technology from wealthy to developing nations.

IV. **Enhance technology transfer and information sharing**. This may be done by encouraging major powers to share technological advancements as well as knowledge in the fields of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and resilience to climate change to support initiatives for resource allocation through partnerships, arrangements, and incentive programs.

v. **Promote inclusion and equity**. The study underlines the necessity of giving social justice the highest priority when allocating resources in favor to ensure that disadvantaged individuals are not left behind, particularly those from emerging countries. It suggests applying funds to support the development of capacity, the creation of jobs, and Methods for

environmentally friendly development aimed at reducing inequality in society and the economy made worse by climate change consequences.

VI. **Boost commitment and public comprehension**: The study demonstrates the importance of raising public awareness of and participation in climate change, the allocation of resources, and substantial influence relationships. It promotes the spread of knowledge, open conversation, and education to give individuals and organizations the authority to argue for just resource distribution and push for successful climate change action.

CONCLUSION:

The study has revealed the intricate connection between the grand politics of power and how resources are distributed in the backdrop of climate change. The findings underscore the struggle between major countries for scarce resources, the unequal distribution of resources caused by geopolitical variables, the meaning of economic influence and authority, and the impact of the political alliance series on how resources are distributed. These innovative discoveries expand the body of knowledge and have substantial implications for international cooperation and climate policy. To overcome geopolitical rivalry and encourage collective action on climate change, the paper emphasizes the importance of broadened international cooperation and collaboration. Addressing the problems brought about by big power politics and the allocation of resources is necessary for strengthening the support of financial structures, technology transfer, communication of information, inclusivity and equity, public awareness and involvement, and global climate governance techniques.

It is crucial for comprehending that poor resource management driven by great power politics can have negative effects. Action must be taken right now in light of the detrimental impacts on the environment, social and economic inequalities, and potential effects on global climate leadership. By implementing the suggested solutions, stakeholders as well as policymakers may support equitable allocation of resources, adaptation to climate change, and environmentally friendly growth. In the context of warming temperatures, however, resolving resource allocation disputes and resolving great power communications is a challenging and dynamic undertaking. To improve and expand beyond the study's conclusions, additional investigation, partnership, and input from stakeholders are needed. The results of the investigation could potentially be

employed to steer future investigations and policy growth and development, which will ultimately result in improved resource allocation and actions to combat climate change.

Finally, the results of this study provide a substantial contribution to our understanding of resource allocation and global politics about climate change. To address the issues and improve opportunities promoting adequate outcomes, they function as a basis for academic deliberation and peer review.

REFERENCES:

Adger, W. N. (2009). Social capital, collective action, and adaptation to climate change. Economic Geography, 79(4), 387-404.

Bäckstrand, K., Kuyper, J. W., Linnér, B.-O., & Lövbrand, E. (2017). Non-state actors in global climate governance: From Copenhagen to Paris and beyond. Environmental Politics, 26(4), 561-579.

Biermann, F., & Pattberg, P. (2008). Global environmental governance: Taking stock, moving forward. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 33, 277-294.

IPCC. (2014). Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press.

Jordan, A., Huitema, D., Hildén, M., van Asselt, H., Rayner, T., Schoenefeld, J., Tosun, J., Forster, J., & Boasson, E. L. (2018). The emergence of climate change governance research: From International Relations to mainstreaming adaptation. Springer.

Keohane, R. O. (1986). Realism, neoliberalism, and cooperation: Understanding the debate. International Security, 9(1), 42-63.

Knaggård, Å., & Sundberg, R. (2017). International Politics and Climate Change. In Climate Change Politics (pp. 23-38). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Lanteigne, M. (2020). China and the Geopolitics of Arctic Climate Change. In The Geopolitics of Arctic Climate Change (pp. 29-49). Routledge.

Leck, H., Conway, D., Bradshaw, M., & Rees, J. (2015). Tracing the water–energy–food nexus: Description, theory, and practice. Geography Compass, 9(8), 445-460.

Meunier, S., & Nicolaidis, K. (Eds.). (2017). The European Union in International Climate Change Politics: Still Taking a Lead? Routledge.

Peters, G. P., Marland, G., Le Quéré, C., Boden, T., Canadell, J. G., & Raupach, M. R. (2012). Rapid growth in CO2 emissions after the 2008–2009 global financial crisis. Nature Climate Change, 2(1), 2-4.

Shah, S. A. A. (2023). Cultural Impact of CPEC on Pakistan and China. *Journal of Social Sciences and Management Studies*, *2*(1), 43–48.

Shah, S. A. A., & Zaidi, S. A. M. (2023). The Role of Parliamentarians in Implementing SDGs in Pakistan: A Qualitative Study Incorporating Lessons Learned across Eight Countries . *Global Sustainability Research*, *2*(2), 47–57.

UNEP. (2016). Global Environmental Outlook 2016: Summary for Policy-Makers. United Nations Environment Programme.

World Bank. (2018). The Role of Carbon Pricing in Climate Change Mitigation. World Bank Group.